

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States/Patent and Trademark Office Addless: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
1.9. 20. 1450
Alexandra Vaccor 22313-1450
www.uspto.gyv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,855	08/26/2003	Paul Rudolf	121306.00002	8904	
7590 02/15/2007 Michael G. Cameron Jackson Walker, LLP. Suite 600 2435 North Central Expressway			EXAMINER		
			HIRL, JOSEPH P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Richardson, TX	•	·	2129		
			MAII DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/648,855	RUDOLF, PAUL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joseph P. Hirl	2129		

	Joseph P. Hirl	2129	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, land a final rejection in land a final rejection, land a final rejection a f	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-78.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). ((PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)(
		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2129	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/648,855

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Attached is a copy of the first page of an amendment related to the subject case that was posted in error. Attorney apparently identified the wrong application number. If this Amendment was posted by your office, please correct your records as necessary.

ATTACHMENT

Appl. No. 10/648,855

Response to Office Action of 6/28/2006

Filed 12-28/2006

Appl. No.

10/648,855

Applicant Filed

Hoard, et al. 08/26/2003

Title

Method for NOx Reduction

TC/A.U.

1724

Examiner

Docket No.

13657-E

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT

JAN 0 4 2007

Sir:

In response to the office action dated June 28, 2006, the applicant sets forth the following amendments and remarks. The applicant respectfully requests a three month extension of time, and includes a fee sheet herewith for the fee, believed to be about \$510. The undersigned hereby authorizes the Director to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayments to the undersigned's deposit account, no. 502149.

Amendments to the Specification begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 3 of this paper.